

## Memo

Date: **20 June 2022**  
Subject: **AB 567 Task Force Meeting 13 questionnaire (LTSS access and regulation)**

This questionnaire will be distributed to all California Assembly Bill (“AB”) 567 Long-Term Care (“LTC”) Task Force Members to complete in advance of Task Force Meeting 13 on June 30, 2022. This questionnaire aims to independently collect Task Force Members’ **preliminary** recommendations concerning **long-term services and supports (“LTSS”) access and regulation** for a potential statewide LTC insurance program.

We will discuss the results of this questionnaire during Task Force Meeting 13, and Task Force Members will have the opportunity to clarify and revisit their recommendations during that discussion.

The topics covered in this questionnaire were deliberated at [Task Force Meeting 12](#) (on June 16, 2022). We recommend that you reference the educational materials from this meeting as you make your selections.

**We ask that Task Force Members come prepared to explain their questionnaire selections and provide their perspectives on the aggregate results of this questionnaire at the upcoming Task Force Meeting.**

The public may submit a response to the questionnaire by completing this fillable PDF and submitting it via email ([CDIBoards@insurance.ca.gov](mailto:CDIBoards@insurance.ca.gov)).

## Questionnaire

Name (optional): \_\_\_\_\_

## LTSS Access and Regulation questions

1. The AB 567 Feasibility Report (“Feasibility Report”) will include a range of program design options for the Insurance Commissioner, Governor, and the Legislature to consider. This will include at least one **lower-cost program alternative that targets a specific population and/or service** (e.g., Hawaii’s Kupuna Caregivers Program). Please describe your recommended target(s) for this lower-cost program alternative below [[Presentation 12.B](#); pages 37-38]
- 

2. Do you recommend establishing a separate working group to examine if the potential statewide LTC insurance program can and should be allowed to pay a portion of the premium for a Medicare Advantage plan that includes LTSS (i.e., this would be one of the eligible program benefits)? Any such working group could potentially be established after the completion of the Feasibility Report (January 1, 2023). [[Presentation 12.C](#)]
  - a. Multiple-choice options:
    - i. No
    - ii. Yes
  - b. If yes, please indicate what types of organizations and individuals you recommend be included in the working group (you may leave this question blank if you are unsure):

- 
- c. Please explain your response:
-

3. Do you recommend establishing a separate working group to design a plan for outreach and education for a potential statewide LTC insurance program? Any such working group could potentially be established after the completion of the Feasibility Report (January 1, 2023). [[Presentation 12.D](#)]

a. Multiple-choice options:

i. No

ii. Yes

b. If yes, please indicate what types of organizations and individuals you recommend be included in the working group (you may leave this question blank if you are unsure):

---

c. Please explain your response:

---

4. What other recommendations would you like to make regarding an outreach and education program for the potential statewide LTC insurance program? [[Presentation 12.D](#)]

---

5. Do you recommend establishing a separate working group to examine how a potential statewide LTC insurance program could coordinate with private LTC insurance, particularly with respect to supplementary (“wrap-around”) private LTC insurance products that pay secondary to the state LTSS benefit? Any such working group could potentially be established after the completion of the Feasibility Report (January 1, 2023). [[Presentation 12.F](#)]

a. Multiple-choice options:

i. No

ii. Yes

b. If yes, please indicate what types of organizations and individuals you recommend be included in the working group (you may leave this question blank if you are unsure):

---

c. Please explain your response:

---

## Follow-up questions from prior Task Force meetings

The question in this section is intended to (i) gain additional clarity on questions from previous questionnaires and/or (ii) gain additional insight on program design elements that the Task Force has not yet reached a preliminary consensus on.

6. Do you recommend the program include any provisions to mitigate or reduce the **initial intergenerational inequity**? (recall, upon program inception, older individuals are likely to contribute less to the program over their lifetime relative to younger individuals; this inequity wanes as the program matures) [[Statewide LTC Insurance Program Illustrative Examples](#); page 4]
  - a. Multiple-choice options:
    - i. No
    - ii. Yes
  - b. If yes, do you have any recommendations for how this could be addressed?

---

- c. Please explain your response:

---